

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
J&J FLOORMASTERS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

07 CV 3096 (SJ)

-against-

**MEMORANDUM
AND ORDER**

JIM WILSON, d/b/a HARDWOOD FLOORS
NATURALLY,

Defendant.
-----X

A P P E A R A N C E S

Michael Marc Rabinowitz
Rabinowitz & Galina
94 Willis Avenue
Mineola, NY 11501

By: Michael Marc Rabinowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

JIM WILSON, d/b/a HARDWOOD FLOORS
NATURALLY, *pro se* Defendant

JOHNSON, Senior District Judge:

Presently before the Court is a Report and Recommendation ("Report") prepared by Magistrate Judge Robert M. Levy. Judge Levy issued the Report on July 25, 2008, and provided the parties with the requisite amount of time to file any objections. Neither party filed any objections to the Report. For the reasons stated herein, this Court affirms and adopts the Report in its entirety.

A district court judge may designate a magistrate judge to hear and determine certain motions pending before the Court and to submit to the Court

proposed findings of fact and a recommendation as to the disposition of the motion. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 10 days of service of the recommendation, any party may file written objections to the magistrate's report. See Id. Upon *de novo* review of those portions of the record to which objections were made, the district court judge may affirm or reject the recommendations. See Id. The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the report and recommendation to which no objections are addressed. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the report and recommendation to which no objections are addressed. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections may waive the right to appeal this Court's Order. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Small v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 892 F.2d 15, 16 (2d Cir. 1989).

In this case, objections to Magistrate Judge Levy's recommendations were due within 10 days of receipt of the July 25, 2008 decision. No objections to the Report were filed with this Court. Upon review of the recommendations, this Court adopts and affirms Magistrate Judge Levy's Report in its entirety.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 15, 2008
Brooklyn, NY

s/Sterling Johnson, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge